

Identities involving elementary symmetric functions

S. Chaturvedi*

School of Physics,
University of Hyderabad,
Hyderabad 500 046 India

V Gupta †

Departamento de Física Aplicado
Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN,
Unidad Mérida, A. P. 73, Cordemex 97310, Mérida, Yucatan, Mexico

Abstract

A systematic procedure for generating certain identities involving elementary symmetric functions is proposed. These identities, as particular cases, lead to new identities for binomial and q-binomial coefficients.

PACS No: 02.20.-a

*e-mail:scsp@uohyd.ernet.in

†:virendra@kin.cieamer.conacyt.mx

Ever since the advent of Calogero-Sutherland models¹⁻⁴ there has been a considerable interest in finding homogeneous symmetric polynomials $P_k(x)$; $x \equiv (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ of degree k which satisfy the generalized Laplace's equation

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right] P_k(x) = 0 \quad . \quad (1)$$

Since one is seeking solutions to (1) which are symmetric functions of $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ it appears natural to change variables from $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ to a set of variables which are symmetric functions of $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and rewrite the generalized Laplace's equation in terms of these variables. Two sets of such variables that have been considered in the literature^{5,6} respectively are

- power sums:

$$p_r(x) = \sum_i x_i^r \quad ; \quad r = 1, \dots, N \quad . \quad (2)$$

- elementary symmetric functions:

$$e_r(x) = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r} \quad ; \quad i_1, \dots, i_r = 1, \dots, N \quad ; \quad r = 1, \dots, N. \quad (3)$$

(Here, for symmetric functions, we follow the nomenclature and notation of ref 7) Explicit expressions for the generalized Laplace's equation in terms of these variables may be found in refs 5 and 6 respectively. The next step consists in finding polynomial solutions of the equation thus obtained. (It may be noted here that a more efficient way of constructing the symmetric polynomial solutions of (1) based on expanding $P_k(x)$ in terms of Jack polynomials⁸ may be found in ref 9.)

In changing variables from $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ to $(e_1(x), \dots, e_N(x))$ in the generalized Laplace's equation, in the intermediate stages, one needs to express the symmetric function

$$\sum_i e_{p-1}^{(i)}(x) e_{q-1}^{(i)}(x) \quad (4)$$

in terms of the $e_r(x)$. Here $e_p^{(i)}(x)$ denotes the p^{th} elementary symmetric function formed from $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ omitting x_i . The purpose of this letter is to provide a derivation of the expression of the symmetric function in (4) in terms of the elementary symmetric functions in the full set of variables $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$. The procedure adopted for deriving this result permits easy extension to symmetric functions like

$$\sum_{i=1}^N e_{p-1}^{(i)}(x) e_{q-1}^{(i)}(x) e_{r-1}^{(i)}(x) \quad (5)$$

and so on. Further, on setting $x_1 = \dots = x_N = 1$ in these relations, ($x_1 = 1, x_2 = q, \dots, x_N = q^{N-1}$) one is led to a series of interesting nonlinear identities for binomial (q-binomial) coefficients.

To obtain the desired results, it proves convenient to work with the generating function for the elementary symmetric functions

$$E(x, t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} t^r e_r(x) \quad (6)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + x_i t) \quad (7)$$

with $e_0(x)$ taken to be equal to 1. From the definition of $E(x, t)$, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log E(x, t) = t \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1 + x_i t)} \quad (8)$$

$$t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log E(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i t}{(1 + x_i t)} = N - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1 + x_i t)} \quad (9)$$

From these relations it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log E(x, t) = Nt - t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log E(x, t) \quad (10)$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} E(x, t) = NtE(x, t) - t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(x, t) \quad (11)$$

On substituting for $E(x, t)$ from (6) and equating like powers of t on both sides one obtains

$$\sum_{i=1}^N e_{p-1}^{(i)}(x) = (N - p + 1)e_{p-1}(x) \quad (12)$$

which on setting $x_1 = \cdots = x_N = 1$ and using

$$e_r(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \binom{N}{r} \quad ; \quad e_r^{(i)}(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \binom{N-1}{r} \quad (13)$$

yields

$$N \binom{N-1}{p-1} = (N - p + 1) \binom{N}{p-1} \quad (14)$$

Further, setting $x_1 = 1, x_2 = q, \dots, x_N = q^{N-1}$ and using

$$e_p(1, q, \dots, q^{N-1}) = q^{p(p-1)/2} \left[\begin{matrix} N \\ p \end{matrix} \right] \quad (15)$$

and

$$e_{p-1}^{(i)}(1, q, \dots, q^{N-1}) = q^{(p-1)(p-2)/2} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} q^{u(u-(p-i-1))} \begin{bmatrix} N-i \\ u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ p-1-u \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} q^{u(u-(p-i-1))} \begin{bmatrix} N-i \\ u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ p-1-u \end{bmatrix} = (N-p+1) \begin{bmatrix} N \\ p-1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

Here

$$\begin{bmatrix} N \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[N]!}{[N-p]![p]!} \quad ; \quad [N]! \equiv [N][N-1] \cdots [1] \quad ; \quad [N] \equiv \frac{(1-q^N)}{(1-q)} \quad (18)$$

denotes the q -binomial coefficient¹⁰. Omitting the points in the double summation on the lhs of (17) where the summand vanishes identically and changing $p-1$ to p , we can rewrite (17) as

$$\sum_{i=p+1}^N \sum_{u=0}^p q^{u(i-p)} \begin{bmatrix} N+u-i \\ u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i-u-1 \\ p-u \end{bmatrix} = (N-p) \begin{bmatrix} N \\ p \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

For $q = 1$, (17) reduces to (14) as can easily be verified.

The same strategy as above can be adopted for deriving a host of similar but more complicated identities involving elementary symmetric functions and hence those involving q -binomial and binomial coefficients as is shown below.

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log E(x, t_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log E(x, t_2) = t_1 t_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1+x_i t_1)(1+x_i t_2)} \quad (20)$$

As before, we now try to express the rhs of (20) as a linear combination of derivatives of $\log E(x, t)$ with respect to t . This can be done using the following relation

$$\frac{1}{(1+x_i t_1)(1+x_i t_2)} = 1 - \frac{1}{(t_1 - t_2)} \left[t_1^2 \frac{x_i}{(1+x_i t_1)} - t_2^2 \frac{x_i t_2}{(1+x_i t_2)} \right] \quad (21)$$

which on summing over i and using (9) gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1+x_i t_1)(1+x_i t_2)} = N - \frac{1}{(t_1 - t_2)} \left[t_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \log E(x, t_1) - t_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \log E(x, t_2) \right] \quad (22)$$

Using this in the rhs of (20) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log E(x, t_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log E(x, t_2) &= N t_1 t_2 \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 - t_2} \right) \left[t_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \log E(x, t_1) - t_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \log E(x, t_2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} E(x, t_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} E(x, t_2) &= N t_1 t_2 E(x, t_1) E(x, t_2) \\ &- \left(\frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 - t_2} \right) \left[t_1^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} E(x, t_1) \right) E(x, t_2) - t_2^2 E(x, t_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} E(x, t_2) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

On substituting from (6) and equating like powers of t_1 and t_2 on both sides one obtains

$$\sum_{i=1}^N e_{p-1}^{(i)}(x) e_{q-1}^{(i)}(x) = (N - p + 1) e_{p-1}(x) e_{q-1}(x) - \sum_{r=0}^{q-2} (p + q - 2 - 2r) e_{p+q-2-r}(x) e_r(x) \quad (25)$$

which is the desired result valid for $p \geq q \geq 2$.

Setting $x_1, \dots, x_N = 1$ and using (13) one obtains the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} N \binom{N-1}{p-1} \binom{N-1}{q-1} &= (N - p + 1) \binom{N}{p-1} \binom{N}{q-1} \\ &- \sum_{r=0}^{q-2} (p + q - 2 - 2r) \binom{N}{p+q-2-r} \binom{N}{r} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

On rearranging the terms this identity may be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{N-1}{p-1} \left[\binom{N}{q-1} - \binom{N-1}{q-1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{q-2} \binom{N-1}{p+q-3-r} \binom{N}{r} - \sum_{r=1}^{q-2} \binom{N}{p+q-2-r} \binom{N-1}{r-1} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

On using the relation

$$\binom{N}{q-1} - \binom{N-1}{q-1} = \binom{N}{q-2} \quad (28)$$

and making the replacements $N \rightarrow N+1, p \rightarrow p+1, q \rightarrow q+2$, and rearranging one obtains

$$\binom{N}{p-1} \binom{N}{q} = \sum_{s=0}^q \left[\binom{N+1}{p+q-s} \binom{N}{s} - \binom{N}{p+q-s} \binom{N+1}{s} \right] \quad (29)$$

valid for $p \geq q$.

The basic strategy for deriving higher identities should now be clear. To express (5) in terms of elementary symmetric functions, one needs to consider

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log E(x, t_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log E(x, t_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log E(x, t_3) = t_1 t_2 t_3 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1 + x_i t_1)(1 + x_i t_2)(1 + x_i t_3)} \quad (30)$$

The next step consists in expressing

$$\frac{1}{(1 + x_i t_1)(1 + x_i t_2)(1 + x_i t_3)} \quad (31)$$

as

$$\frac{1}{(1+x_it_1)(1+x_it_2)(1+x_it_3)} = 1 + f_1 \frac{x_i}{(1+x_it_1)} + f_2 \frac{x_i}{(1+x_it_2)} + f_3 \frac{x_i}{(1+x_it_3)} \quad (32)$$

where the f_i 's are functions of t_i 's only. This can always be done. This relation, in turn, allows one to express the rhs of (30) as a linear combination of derivatives of $\log E(x, t)$ with respect to t and hence leading to the identities of the type discussed above. Note that to derive the identities for the binomial coefficients alone one could have set all x_i 's equal to x from the very outset. The systematic procedure outlined here leads to much more general results from which the binomial identities and q-binomial identities arise as special cases.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 2191 (1969).
- [2] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 2197 (1969).
- [3] B. Sutherland, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 246 (1971) ; **12**, 251 (1971).
- [4] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A**4**, 2019 (1971) ; A **5**, 1372 (1972).
- [5] A. M. Perelomov, Theor. Math. Phys. **6**, 263 (1971).
- [6] W. Rühl and A. Turbiner, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**10**, 2213 (1995).
- [7] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition, (Clarendon, Oxford, 1995).
- [8] H. Jack, Proc. Roy. Soc (Edinburgh) **69A**, 1 (1970).
- [9] S. Chaturvedi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**13**, 715 (1998).
- [10] See for instance, J. Cigler, Monatshefte für Mathematik, **88**, 87 (1979).